MYSORE

REV (1950) Department.

Confdl

RT SERIES.

G.O. No. 402

Dated 3-6-50

Passed
Supdt

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Servants - Bellary - Dy Tahsildars - In 2 nr. Benjamin - inclusion of Petition - Rejected

Extract from Board's Ref. No. 3096/50-1(c), dated 31.3.50.

Public Servants - (Bellary) - Tahsildars and Deputy Tahsildars - Inclusion in the approved lists - Petitions to Govt, Submitted.

---

xxx xxx

2. In his annual proposals for the inclusion of names in the approved list of Tahsildars for the Bellary district for 1949, the Collr. recommended the names of Sri I.M. Kotriah and Sri S. Vasudevan. The Bd. examined their cases and ordered that they should wait. The Collr. did not recommend the names of Sri S.A. Bhaskar, Sri K. Divakar Rao and Sri K.M. Kotriah for inclusion in the list of Tahrs. The Bd. accepted the reasons given in the case of Sri S.A. Bhaskar but examined the claims of Sri K. Divakara Rao and Sri A.M. Kotriah and ordered that they should wait. Relevant extracts of the remarks of the Collr. and the Bd.'s Office file are enclosed.

3. The Bd. included 5 names in the approved list of Tahrs. - one from each of the communities Brahmans, Non-Brahmin Hindus, Backward Hindus, Muslims and Indian Christian. The Brahman as selected as their records were the best.

xx xx xx

4. In his annual proposals for 1949, the Collr. of Bellary recommended the names of ~~Sri H.B. Bhima Reddy, Sri K.R. Srinivasacheri, Sri P. Seshagiri Rao and~~ Sri Z. N. Benjamin. The Bd. examined the cases and ordered that they should wait. x x x Relevant extracts of the remarks of the Collr. and of the Bd.'s office file are enclosed.

5. The Bd. included 5 names in the approved list of Dy. Tahrs. - 4 belonging to Backward Hindu Community and 1 belonging to Non-Brahmin Hindu Community xxxx These 5 persons were included as their records were better than those of the petitioners and memorialist.

---

14. Sri Z.N. Benjamin - S.S.L.C. - Aged 37 years. Working as Head clerk, special Deputy Collector's Office, T.B. Project. Technically qualified. Case was considered for the last four years, and ordered to wait. He also submitted a petition this year. Nomination roll and personal file may be perused. Petition to the Government was rejected in Government Memo. 29997/49-1, dated 19.7.49. Was on leave during the strike period.

Note by Secretary: Over-represented community - Paul found his work in 1945 just satisfactory. May wait.

(Sd)A.F. Mascarenhas,
14.1.50.

Wait
(Sd) V.N. Kudva.
17.1.50.

Wait
(Sd) S. Ranganathan,
17/1

/True extract/

kd. 29.6.

for Secretary.

LIST OF PERSONS WHO ARE CONSIDERED FIT FOR APPOINTMENT AS DEPUTY TAHSILDAR IN THE DISTRICT OF BELLARY DURING THE YEAR 1949.

1.	Number ...	V.
2.	Name of the Officer ...	Sri Z.N. Benjamin.
3.	Caste ...	Indian Chiristian.
4.	Present appointment and pay thereof ...	Head clerk, Special Deputy Collector's Office, T.B. Project, Hospet, Pay,Rs.110/-
5.	Age: ...	38 years.
6.	Total Service ...	18 years and 2 months including acting service.

SERVICE

7.	In qualifying grandes in the case of candidates for the post of Tahsildar ...	Does not arise.
8.	As Revenue Inspector in the cases of candidates for the post of Deputy Tahsildar. ...	2 years, 3 months and 24 days.
9.	General and educational qualifications ...	S.S.L.C.
10.	Special tests passed withdate of passing ...	Revenue Test - Part I -Gaz. pp.6 dated 1.5.38 -do- PartII -do- pp.5, dated 29.8.39. -do- Part III - do- pp. 6, dated 13.2.40. Accts. Test Part I -do- pp. 10, dated 19.8.41. -do- Part II -do- pp. 1, dated 15.2.46. Crl-Judl.Test Part I - do- pp. 3, dated 22.8.44. -do- Part II - do- pp. 5, dated 15.2.45.
11.	Conduct and Character ...	Satisfactory.
12.	Whether Possessed landed Property within the limits of the District. ...	One building site in Bellary town
13.	Whether the Officer has previouslyexercised magisterial powers for a period not less than 6 months.	-No.
14.	Whether physically fit and able to get about the country (for a Deputy Tahsildar) whether able to ride (for Tahsildar) ...	Yes.
15.	R E MA R K A. ...	He did not take part in the strike, as he was on leave. Has acted for two years as Deputy Tahsildar under rule 9(a) in three capacities. In two of them, he has done well. The Special Officer, T.B. Project recommends him very strongly. I have no knowledge of him or his work. So, I accept the special Officer's recommendation.

Moreover, he is the only Christian qualified and there are two passed over turns.

The Government requi ed that action should be taken against him for trying for promotion by influencing Sri M.J. Samuel, M.L.A., As the latter asserted that the never made any request to him at all and that in his tours in his constituency he had occasion to notice ~~and that in h~~ this and some ~~oth~~ other cases too. I could not take any action against him. May be included.

(Sd) N.V. Sugavanam,
14.12.49.
Collector.

12/12/49

Copy of letter from Sri G. Rami Reddi, B.A., Collector of Bellary, Confdl. No. A4. 4126/50 dated 21.3.50:-

Subject: Public Servants - Benjamin (Sri Z.M.) - Permanent Clerk, Revenue Establishment - Bellary District - Petition for the inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars - Submitted.

I submit herewith the petition put in by Sri Z.N. Benjamin to the Government requesting that his name might be included in the Deputy Tahsildar's list.

2. As stated by the petitioner, it is a fact that the candidates included in the Deputy Tahsildars' list for 1949 are all acring clerks and also juniors to the petitioner in the category of upper division and selection posts. It is also a fact that the petitioner has acted as Deputy Tahsildar far more than 2 years under Rule 3(a) and 9(a). Moreover he is the only Indian-Christian qualified for inclusion in the Deputy Tahsildars' list and there are passed over turns in respect of this community. The petitioner's name was recommended in the annual proposals for 1949 for inclusion in the Deputy Tahsildars' list, but the Board was pleased to say that he should wait. He will be completing his 39th year by 8.7.1950. In view of the facts detailed above, I submit that his case deserves consideration.

3. The charge framed against him pursuant to the Government's instructions (issued in their Memorandum No. 23369 G/49-2, dated 7th July 1949) for alleged contravention of rule 26 of the Government Servant's conduct Rules, by his trying to influence the Government through Sri M.J. Samuel, M.L.A., Kurnool was dropped by my predecessor (Sri N.V. Sugavanam) on Sri M.J. Samuel's statement before him that Sri Z.M. Benjamin had made no request to him to recommend his promotion.

4. The petitioner is on leave and I have no idea about his work. He has however a good name for honesty and work.

5. His personal file has been submitted to Government with this Office C.No. 1480/50 (Confdl.) dated 1-3-50-

/True copy/

for Secretary.

kd. 29.6.

11/7

From

Z.N. Benjamin, Ex-Selection Grade Clerk,
Tungabhadra Project, Hospet.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Through

The proper channel.

Sir,

Sub: Public Service - Madras Revenue Subordinate Service Bellary District - Benjamin - Z.N. - Inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars - Petition submitted.

Ref: Board's Endt. (confdl.) Ref. D/10087/49-2, dated the 24th January, 1950.

The Board of Revenue has rejected my petition for inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars but has selected the following persons for the year 1949:-

1. Sri K. Rudrappa.
2. Sri K. Satyanarayana
3. Sri B.M. Veerabhadrayya.
4. Sri P.M. Rachayya.
5. Sri G. Kotrabasayya.

All the above are not only juniors to me in Service but they are all acting clerks with no permanent footing in the department.

I am senior to them also in the list of Upper Division Clerks and Selection grade of Clerks.

Nos. 2 and 4 are not better qualified educationally if that has been taken into consideration.

I submit it is the policy of the Government (and I believe they have issued instructions in this regard) not to deny promotions to permanent and experienced Government servants in preference to raw and in-experienced men.

I am a permanent Government servant in Madras Ministeri Service having put in nearly 11 years of permanent service. After serving in various branches I was promoted as Upper Division Clerk in March, 1945. I was again promoted as Dy. Tahsildar under Rule 3-A on 14.2.1946 and acted as such till 21.3.1949.

~~They~~ There are no bad remarks against me as otherwise they would have been communicated to me. I may submit here that a charge was framed against me last year that I tried to bring influence on the Government. However, after due enqui the charge was dropped. In view of my name not having been included, I believe, that the matter has been mentioned eith in my confidential sheet or recommendation roll- If it was is quite unfair and it would have the effect of prejudicing case especially when the charge was fully gone through and dropped.

p.t.o....

9/7

I am an Indian Christian running 39 years.

I submit that if the Board has rejected my claim to consider it next year it has done a gross injustice as by next year I would be age-barred.

Theye are two passed over turns for chirsitians. I submit the Government would do me justice by examining why a Christian was not selected when there are two-passed-over turns.

I am a loyal Government servant and had not taken part in the Non Gazetted Government Officers strike of 1947.

I therefore submit to the Government that the injustice done to me may be rectified in view of (1) my seniority in service,

(2) my service as Deputy Tahsildar for more than 3 years.
and

(3) my age which is fast approaching to 40 when I would be in eligible for inclusion.

By ordering my inclusion in the list of Dy. Tahsildars.

For which act of kindness I shall every be grateful to Government.

Yours faithfully,
xxx

Ex-Head Clerk, Spl. Dy.Collr.
I. T.B.P. Hospet.

Copy submitted to the Secy. to Govt. Madras, to save delay.

(True copy)

kd. 30.6.

9/11/7

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

ABSTRACT:

PUBLIC SERVANTS - Bellary - Deputy Tahsildars - Sri Z.N. Benjamin - Inclusion - Petition - Rejected.

Revenue Department

Dated 3rd June, 1950
G.O.Rt. No. 402. Read the following:-

From Sri Z.N. Benjamin, petition dated 15.3.1950.
2.From the Board of Revenue, D. 3096/50-1(c), dated 31.3.1950.

Order:

The Government see no reason to interfere on behalf of the petitioner. The petition is rejected.

(By order of the Governor)

xxx
Secretary to Government
2.6.50.

To
Sri Z.n. Benjamin, through the Board of Revvenue.

Copy to the Board of Revenue (L.R.).

(True copy)

kd. 30.6.